

WASHTENAW COUNTY
BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS

SPECIAL MEETING

SECOND PUBLIC HEARING

PLEASANT LAKE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Wednesday, July 17, 2024, 7:00 p.m.

Washtenaw County Service Center
MSU Classroom
705 North Zeeb Road
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Andrew Schmidt
Gary McCririe
Kathleen Root
Evan Pratt
Lauren Smith
Brian Weinert

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Ketankumar Dave

STAFF PRESENT:

Theo Eggermont, Public Works Director
Lauren Koloski, Environmental Supervisor
Michelle Katz, Water Resources Program Coordinator
Autumn House, Communications & Media Specialist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>AGENDA ITEMS:</u>	<u>PAGE:</u>
1. Call to Order	3
2. Remote Meeting Guidelines and Protocols	3
3. Approval of Agenda	3
4. Approval of Minutes	4
5. Purpose of Public Hearing	5
6. Description of the Project	8
7. Open Public Hearing	10
8. Public Comment	11
9. Close Public Comment	18
10. Board of Public Works to Approve/Deny Resolution to Proceed with Project	26
11. Next Steps	26
12. Adjournment	27

Ann Arbor, Michigan

Wednesday, July 17, 2024 - 7:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All right, it is 7:00. Welcome everyone. Happy to have you here this very fine evening. I'm going to call this Special Meeting to order.

This is the Second Public Hearing for the Pleasant Lake Improvement Project, and having called this to order, I'm going to turn it over to Theo who's going to tell us about meeting guidelines and protocols.

Theo, tell us about the protocols.

REMOTE MEETING GUIDELINES AND PROTOCOLS

MR. EGGERMONT: Thanks, Drew.

Great, well this is a meeting that is both in-person and over Zoom. At present we don't have any Zoom participants, so I won't go through the Zoom protocols.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. EGGERMONT: So if someone does join us, at that time I will speak about the how to unmute yourself and whatnot at that time.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. EGGERMONT: So I will turn it right back to you because we can skip that portion.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Excellent, thank you.

Is there a motion to approve the agenda?

MS. ROOT: So moved.

MS. SMITH: I'll support.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Moved, supported.

Any discussion?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All those in favor of approving the agenda?

Aye.

MS. SMITH: Aye.

MS. ROOT: Aye.

MR. MCCRIRIE: Aye.

MR. WEINERT: Aye.

MR. PRATT: Aye.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Opposed?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: We have an agenda. Thank you.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Next up is approval of the meeting minutes from our June 12 meeting, which was the First Public Hearing for the Pleasant Lake Improvement Project.

MR. MCCRIRIE: Move approval as presented.

MS. SMITH: I'll support.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Moved and supported.

Any comments or corrections?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All in favor of approval?

Aye.

MS. SMITH: Aye.

MS. ROOT: Aye.

MR. MCCRIRIE: Aye.

MR. WEINERT: Aye.

MR. PRATT: Aye.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Opposed?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All right we have approved meeting minutes. Thank you.

Next up, we're gonna now have a brief discussion and description of the purpose of this Public Hearing, and Theo Eggermont is gonna take care of that for us. Theo?

PURPOSE OF PUBLIC HEARING

MR. EGGERMONT: Thanks.

So this is the Second Public Hearing for the Board of Public Works for considering Pleasant Lake and specifically about the roll. At the First Public Hearing the Board heard commentary about the desirability of the project. They passed a resolution that they tentatively do want to proceed with the project as proposed.

Tonight the Board's considering the roll,

essentially who's in and who's out, what the charges are, essentially how staff has proposed the project should proceed with considering parcels, charges, and how it's been set up, the structure of it, if you will. So that's what the Board will be considering.

Since the First Public Hearing, one of the things that was a takeaway for me that we wanted to look into was we heard concerns about the park designation, and so as a result we did update the project description to note that it is a private park so as to not promulgate that a park is public and that there's public access to that.

So we have not received many, if any, calls about -- or about specific parcels and charges. I attribute a lot of that to this is a third time that this project has come around, and we didn't make that many changes except for the park designation.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Very good.

MR. EGGERMONT: So the primary purpose tonight is to determine if this project should proceed as proposed, and to assist in their decision-making, the Board will hear from the public. And so during public comment, please comment on how you feel like the project should move forward, if it should move forward as proposed, and comment on the roll and the Board will

deliberate. You're also welcome to comment about many other things but specifically what the Board is considering tonight is the roll.

So during public comment, to do so, please fill out a card, submit that to the Board Chair. Please state your name and address when you come up to speak. You have three minutes. I will time that, and I will give you a 30-second heads up just so that you know your time is coming to an end and finish your thought.

Feel free to ask questions of the Board, but it's not a dialogue. The Board may or may not ask us to respond, or respond to that during their portion.

When it's their turn to discuss, they can call on individuals, and so at that point they may ask you for more information or some questions to tease out what they understand your question to be and whatnot.

So when public comment is open, I'd encourage you to speak to the roll and the structure of the project. And then I also need to note that if you want to preserve your right to take your case to the Michigan Tax Tribunal, you need to protest and speak during public comment and note your protest. We'll document that for our records. That's part of why we have the calling cards so that we have that documented, and we also have transcription services present.

So, after the hearing, the Board will deliberate on the resolution before them, and consider if they want to approve it, deny it, send it back for revision; so they have a number of options before them, and will proceed accordingly based on their discussion.

I'll send it back to you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Awesome. Thank you, Theo.

Just to refresh everybody's memories a little bit, I'm gonna ask Lauren Koloski to give us a brief description of the project.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

MS. KOLOSKI: Thank you, Andrew.

Hi, everyone. My name is Lauren Koloski. Thank you so much for being here tonight.

If the Board of Public Works approves the resolution to proceed for a Pleasant Lake Improvement Project tonight, this project will aim to manage the growth and reproduction of non-native invasive and nuisance native aquatic plants.

The project's focus is on maintaining the ecological health and recreational opportunities for Pleasant Lake. Additionally, other items may be included that would provide support for continuing the ecological health and recreational opportunities -- will be subject to approval by the Board of Public Works.

The project will not eliminate invasive nuisance plants in Pleasant Lake. The project will work to maintain native aquatic plant populations and adjust our approach to what plants are observed each spring.

If the resolution is passed tonight, Washtenaw County will hire a lake scientist and a professional herbicide applicator to help manage the project. The hiring of the contractors will be done through a competitive bidding process. These contractors will work together to develop, maintain a treatment plan for Pleasant Lake.

The herbicides that will be used to address the nuisance aquatic plants on Pleasant Lake are registered with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Michigan Department of Agricultural and Rural Development, and approved by the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy for use in the waters of the state. A permit to apply for these herbicides is required before treatment.

The cost of the assessment district is covered by residents, businesses, and public properties surrounding the lake. And so I'm now going to go through a little bit of the current charges compared to what they were previously.

And so non-waterfront residential properties

with lake access are currently being -- are proposed to be charged \$157.50 annually, which is an increase of \$27.50 from the previous project which was \$130.

We have the park front residential properties, which Theo discussed the private park front, and so that is a new tier so we don't have a compare from last year, but that's \$307.50 annually.

And then we have the waterfront residential properties, which are proposed to be \$375.00 annually, which is an increase from \$50.00 from the previous project.

Commercial properties are being charged \$600.00 annually, which is an increase of \$175.00 from the previous project, which is \$425.00.

To find individual property assessments, residents can go to washtenaw.org/PleasantLake, or come talk to one of us after the meeting. And we have all this information posted online as well, too. That's it for me. Thank you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Thank you, Lauren.

At this time we're going to open the Public Hearing.

OPEN PUBLIC HEARING

CHAIR SCHMIDT: We're going to proceed in an orderly fashion through different categories of input, and

first up are letters received.

PUBLIC COMMENT

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Did we receive any letters, Theo?

MR. EGGERMONT: No letters received.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Excellent. Next up we're going to do in-person comments, and I'm going to call your name. We ask that anyone giving comments, please come up so you're next to the microphone so the reporter gets a good, clear recording. Please state your name and address. And we have three minutes for comments.

So first up Mike, is it SHY-bull
(*pronunciation*).

MR. SCHAIBLE: SHY-blee (*pronunciation*).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: SHY-blee (*pronunciation*).

MR. SCHAIBLE: Yes.

Is this the microphone right here?

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Yes.

MR. PRATT: Oh, yes. Thanks, Mike.

MR. SCHAIBLE: All right. My name is Mike Schaible. I'm actually here on behalf of my parents, my mom, Barb Schaible, Ellen B. Schaible Farms, LLC, 4665 Fletcher Road, Manchester, Michigan. I currently live at that -- at 10795 Pleasant Lake Road, which is on the east end of the lake.

My mom's property was exempt the past two, was it two different times now they've run this?

MR. PRATT: This is the third one. Isn't it?

MR. SCHAIBLE: This is the third one. Okay.

MR. PRATT: Yeah.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Because it's swamp. There was one time I tried to run a canoe through there; it was the first and the last time. So we really don't have lake access. So, I'm here to try to get off the rolls for the -- as we have been.

I have not seen a map of the area. Again, where I live, it's my parents' property, but I own the parcel next to it which is on the inside of Fletcher, Schneider, and Hieber Roads. I own the very end of the swamp. I did not get a letter, so I'm assuming I'm not being charged, but I just wanted to make sure that I don't need to appeal that one also.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Because there is no water on that one. That one's just --

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Right.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Yeah.

MR. EGGERMONT: For -- just to protect you right, why don't you say you protest if you are--

MR. SCHAIBLE: Okay.

MR. EGGERMONT: We'll follow up and we'll confirm with you.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Okay. I'm protesting being on the -- what's the term -- the assessment.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Special Assessment Roll.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Special Assessment Roll. Yeah.

MR. EGGERMONT: Okay. And we'll follow up and speak to you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Yeah. Very good.

MR. SCHAIBLE: Okay.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Next up, Lon. Is it?

MS. FLAHIE: Nordeen.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Nordee?

MS. FLAHIE: Deen.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Deen.

MS. FLAHIE: Nordeen.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Lon Nordeen.

MR. NORDEEN: 11844 Hieber Road. I talked to you before. I'm just gonna reiterate what we said before. Thank you for this meeting, and it's exciting that we're discussing the third of the series. So I just ask you to approve it, and we appreciate -- we have a great working relationship with these people.

And the other thing I wanted to add, there's two

things. One is, again, thank you for working on the weir. I'd like you guys to help us a little bit more with research on the weir because we'd like to find out a little bit more when that was put in and how that was put in, okay, but that's just a little separate from the hearing here.

The other thing is that when we do, if you approve number three, I really hope that the team will continue what we've had before, which is a educated, professional evaluation by the organization that are selected. But also, one of the trends that we've seen on other lakes is where, as you know, there's companies that will do both the assessment and the treatment, and we really like the idea of a professional organization that will look -- I mean, using a medical analogy, how often do you hear a surgeon recommend a chiropractor? You know, I mean we really would like to have somebody who does the evaluation, and then someone else do the actual chemical disbursement treatment. So that that's the other one.

And the last one is just thank you. Let's work as a team, and we appreciate how we worked as a team before, and this would -- you would still have, what, six lakes, seven lakes? With our renewal?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Something like that, yeah.

MR. NORDEEN: Yeah, so -- so we really appreciate your supporting our efforts. Thank you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Excuse me. Thank you.

Next up Beth HOY-zer (pronunciation)?

MS. HEUSER: HUE-zer (pronunciation).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: HUE-zer (pronunciation).

MS. HEUSER: HOI-zer is German. We go by Heuser. Only because of the war, I think. World War II.

I'm Beth Heuser. I live at 11254 Hieber Road, Manchester Michigan. And I, again, would like to say thank you for helping us with the SAD. It's very important. We have to keep the lake clean because we're the head of the watersheds, and everybody counts on us to be good stewards, and that includes the weir, and right now the weir is very sad.

I talked to the woman that lives next door, I was there today, and it was never really put in right, and not that anything would be right then. They didn't have pylons to put in. But what's happening there is, the fact that during the winter, the water splashes up big-time on the property of June Fowler, which is vacant now. And then as the spring comes, the shoreline disappears into the weir, and so it's just becoming more swampy on that side.

She said, the owner of the property, Greta, said

she and her husband put in pylons in front of their house. They should have continued it down into the weir, but they didn't. They were -- she wished she had. But what's been there are railroad ties. Now, that might have been replaced at some time, but they just keep sinking and, you know, railroad ties now are taboo basically. You don't use them anymore. And so the other side has nothing. That's why it's getting so much broader. It was very, very high at one time she said, and it was narrow as the little dam there. So that definitely needs to have some serious attention so that we continue to be able to provide the water that we provide for Ann Arbor, you know. And it's a hundred miles in circumference of our lake is the groundwater. So, we've worked hard to keep it clean. Thank you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Karen Flahie. I got one right.

MS. FLAHIE: You did.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: That's awesome.

MS. FLAHIE: You must be Irish.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: No.

MS. FLAHIE: He has an Irish name.

Hi. Karen Flahie, 11164 Hieber Road. I just want to say thank you very much for even going through this process and helping our lake to maintain its health

and vigor that is necessary to keep it, you know, part of the Huron River Watershed. We really do feel very strongly about not just taking care of our property in our lake, but that it feeds all the way through, and we want to protect as much as we can and keep it at a viable lake. We don't want it to turn into a swamp for obvious reasons, but also for ecological reasons.

So as much as I don't like putting chemicals, I mean, I don't put anything on my lawn. It's the all-American salad bar for the geese and they love it, but it's important, because it's a man-made issue with all these invasive species, and we need a man-made solution. So that's why we have to do what we do, but we do it with good scientific protocol and knowledge and awareness, and I think all that together makes for a win-win for everybody.

So I really want this to continue, and to continue being good stewards of our lake and our watershed, and I really hope that this will continue for a long time as long as it's necessary.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Thank you very much.

MR. NORDEEN: Karen is one of the Planning Commission members, too.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Excellent. Excellent.

Any other in-person commenters?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Seeing none, Theo are there any remote participants?

MR. EGGERMONT: No remote participants.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay. So last call in case anybody else wants to speak.

(No response).

CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENTS

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All right. We're now closing the public comment portion of this meeting and we're going to proceed into consideration of the resolution.

Is there a motion to approve the Special Assessment District roll resolution?

MS. SMITH: I'll move approval of the roll.

MS. ROOT: I'll support.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: It's moved and supported.

Discussions? Questions? Comments?

MS. SMITH: I have a question.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Have at it.

MS. SMITH: I wasn't here at the last public meeting. I know weir came up last time, and I'm maybe ignorant to what that actually is, so I'm kind of curious if somebody can elaborate, whether it's an audience member or staff.

MR. PRATT: Yeah, I can do so. So Pleasant Lake

is, doesn't really have a huge drainage area. Like was suggested, a lot of it's groundwater fed, so nice, good water, but it's got an outlet at the far west end of the lake that has a elevation control to it. It overflows that and immediately goes into a county drain that, as was pointed out, eventually gets to another county drain, and eventually gets to the Huron River. So this is the high -- this is basically the headwaters of the Mill Creek sub watershed.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MR. PRATT: -- as it works its way to the Huron. So there's a small control structure out there that's got boards in it, so. So we cleared that off because there was, you know, debris and stuff in the area and, you know, trees, leaners, stuff like that. But the canal, it's kind of a canal that gets to that weir. I don't know if that was natural or if that was a part of putting it in, but what the Drain Office owns and operates starts right at the weir, so there's not a lot we can do for, you know, land sloughing into the, you know, area there, but we can take a look and see if we can make sure that, if the boards need any maintenance or whatever, we can replace those.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Excellent.

MS. SMITH: Okay. Great. Yeah, thank you.

Hopeful.

MR. PRATT: But yeah, that's kind of the natural overflow, you know, if water gets out, I gotta keep that there.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Any other questions or comments from the Board?

MR. PRATT: And I don't know the date or the history of when that went in. I might look that up once, but that's easy enough to look up, and we can provide that information through Lauren and Theo.

MR. NORDEEN: Can I add one little comment to your question?

MS. SMITH: Please.

MR. NORDEEN: I want you to think about this. There's a farmer's field there, okay, and the weir is probably down at the floor, if you would.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MR. NORDEEN: And it goes under the road --

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MR. NORDEEN: -- okay, which is Reno Road, and it goes all the way across the field, and it's interesting, it goes into the beginning of Mill Creek.

MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.

MR. NORDEEN: And the reason I want you to think about that on your mind, is remember, we have several

pipelines crossing that field. So you've got this pipe, and over it are two or three small pipelines, but under it is the big ET Rover one.

MS. FLAHIE: Forty-two inch.

MR. NORDEEN: Yeah. So what we have is kind of a scary thing which is, imagine, remember the Kalamazoo oil spill?

MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Uh-huh.

MR. NORDEEN: We have a situation where the weir pipe is sitting here, and on top of it is two or three, you know, oil and gas pipelines, and underneath is a big one. So if any of those ever go it, could be boom --

MS. SMITH: I see.

MR. NORDEEN: -- or leak, and then it goes Mill Creek, Pleasant Lake.

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

MR. NORDEEN: So that's just something to think about.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MR. NORDEEN: Thank you.

MS. SMITH: No, thank you.

MS. FLAHIE: Just one more comment that Enbridge that spilled in Kalamazoo is the same pipe that goes over that.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Right.

MS. FLAHIE: It's the same pipeline.

MS. SMITH: Yeah. That's expected, so.

MS. HEUSER: Not cool.

MS. FLAHIE: And they've been there for, what, 60-something years?

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MR. NORDEEN: It's just one of those, you know, weaknesses we have.

MS. SMITH: Uh-huh.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: I have a question regarding wetlands per one of our comments. How do we typically treat folk, properties that are effectively wetlands? Is there a factor that we apply? Is that appropriate? Or is that -- is this unique?

MR. EGGERMONT: Let's -- yeah, let's talk about this on this individual case.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. EGGERMONT: Do you want to speak to that, Lauren?

MS. KOLOSKI: Yeah. This is a -- that part of the lake is unusable when you go over to -- on Pleasant Lake. This is an individual kind of case that I don't see anywhere else where we have on usable. Even we do our surveys, we do not survey that side of the lake because

it's just -- it's not usable. It's not a functioning -- there's no benefit for those properties because they cannot access the water at that point. It's marshland.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MS. KOLOSKI: We do not survey it, we don't treat it because it's --

CHAIR SCHMIDT: So conceivably the actual border of the lake actually doesn't include this this little hook out here?

MS. KOLOSKI: It does include it. We include properties. We may set -- we set them at zero. I believe that this property, I'll follow up with the resident, but we include it just in case conditions change or they do something, we want to add that property back in and charge it. But the property should be included and set at zero, is my understanding, and I will get back to -- I will confirm that. That's how we've done it; include the property, but just in case you guys build a bridge or something, you know, we run back out there, and like, "Oh, they're using it," so we can assess it on --

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MS. KOLOSKI: -- a year-to-year.

MR. EGGERMONT: So because there is deeded access, we include it in the district.

MS. KOLOSKI: Yes.

MR. EGGERMONT: But because we don't believe there's actually a benefit, we zeroed it out.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. EGGERMONT: So we kind of -

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Thank you.

MR. EGGERMONT: We float that line of we -- we follow the rule of deeded access, so it's included in the district; however, we use the administrative function to say --

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Got it.

MR. EGGERMONT: -- we don't actually believe there's a benefit --

MR. PRATT: Yeah.

MR. EGGERMONT: -- so we zero it.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Very good.

MR. PRATT: That rings a bell from before. I mean, I remember you brought it up before to -- the first time.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: So thank you for coming and getting it on record again. That's awesome.

All right. Any other comments or questions?

Brian.

MR. WEINERT: A couple, one of which, just out of curiosity, how many private park front properties are included now in this project? Just curious. Or do you

have an idea of?

MR. EGGERMONT: Yeah, there's roughly 10 on each side. So 20 about --

MR. WEINERT: Okay. Okay.

And then secondly, in the resolution itself, the Resolve Clause 4 says, "The first installment shall be due payable on February 14th, 2024."

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Good catch.

MR. WEINERT: I'm assuming that's 2025.

MR. EGGERMONT: 2025. Thank you.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: 2025.

MR. WEINERT: So if we could make that as a friendly amendment to the --

MR. PRATT: As amended.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Is that okay by the maker of the motion?

MS. ROOT: Yes.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: And supporter? It's okay?

MS. SMITH: Oh, yeah. Yes.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Okay.

MS. SMITH: I forgot I --

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Brian, great catch.

MR. WEINERT: Okay.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Any other comments or questions?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: All right, hearing no other discussion, Michelle would you do us the honors?

MS. KATZ: Certainly.

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS TO APPROVE/DENY RESOLUTION

MS. KATZ: McCririe.

MR. MCCRIRIE: Yes.

MS. KATZ: Weinert.

MR. WEINERT: Yes.

MS. KATZ: Smith.

MS. SMITH: Yes.

MS. KATZ: Schmidt.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Yes.

MS. KATZ: Root.

MS. ROOT: Yes.

MS. KATZ: Pratt.

MR. PRATT: Yes.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Great. Motion carries.

So at this point, Theo, if you could tell us a little bit about what the next steps are?

NEXT STEPS

MR. EGGERMONT: Right. So now that the Board's approved the resolution, that puts it to the Board of Public Works for our August meeting where they'll set the assessment amount. They do that every year for all of our projects that are to be assessed, and then that goes on

the December tax roll, which is collected the following year, in this case 2025. Thanks for the catch. So then those funds are used to treat in this year of 2025. So the project will proceed for five years.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Great. All right.

Any other questions or comments? Anything from the Board?

(No response).

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Is there a motion to adjourn?

MR. PRATT: So moved.

MS. ROOT: Support.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Moved, supported.

All in favor?

Aye.

MS. SMITH: Aye.

MS. ROOT: Aye.

MR. MCCRIRIE: Aye.

MR. WEINERT: Aye.

MR. PRATT: Aye.

CHAIR SCHMIDT: Very good. We're done.

ADJOURNMENT

(Meeting adjourned at 7:26 p.m.)

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) ss.

I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the, WASHTENAW COUNTY, BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS, SPECIAL MEETING, SECOND PUBLIC MEETING, PLEASANT LAKE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, held Wednesday, July 17, 2024.

I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this matter.

DATED: July 23, 2024

S/ *Kristen Shankleton*

Transcription provided by:

Kristen Shankleton (CER6785)

Modern Court Reporting & Video, L.L.C.


Andrew Schmidt, Chair

Dated: 8/21/2024